Euclidean Methods for Cubic and Quartic Jacobi Symbols

Previous Work

Binomial congruences and feasibility tests

Power Residues

- The multiplicative group of the finite field \mathbf{Z}_p is cyclic.
- Let gcd(p,q) = 1. If $x^r \equiv q$ is solvable mod p, we say that q is an r-th power residue mod p.
- The quadratic case (r = 2).
- Jacobi symbol (q|p): tells you if q is a quadratic residue mod p or not.
- Quadratic reciprocity (Gauss): When p, q are odd primes, (q|p) and (p|q) are related.
- Jacobi symbol can be computed rapidly using the Euclidean algorithm:

 $u = qv + 2^k r, \qquad r \text{ odd.}$

Cubic and Quartic Residues

- Reciprocity laws for 3rd and 4th powers explored by Gauss, Jacobi and their followers.
- Eisenstein (1844): first "code" for quartic Jacobi symbol, based on Euclidean algorithm. Cubic version only written down much later (Williams/Holte 1977).
- Bit complexity for *n*-bit "Euclidean" gcd algorithms in $\mathbf{Z}[
 ho], \, \mathbf{Z}[i]$:
- O(nM(n)) for least-remainder alg in $\mathbf{Z}[i]$ (Caviness/Collins 1976)
- $O(n^2)$ for alg in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ that approximates least remainders (Collins 1992).
- Earlier, approximate remainders used in more intricate $O(n^2)$ procedures to compute gcd ideals in quadratic fields (Kaltofen/Rolletschek 1989).
- These upper bounds extend to "Euclidean" Jacobi symbol algs (folklore).

Bryce Sandlund 2 Eric Bach¹

¹University of Wisconsin-Madison ²University of Waterloo

What We Did

Bounds for the bit complexity of some cubic/quartic Jacobi symbol algorithms that use long division.

| A Bit Complexity Upper Bound |
|---|
| Complete self-contained proof of $O(n^2)$ bit complexity for Williams-Holte using approximate least remainders. We extend alg to handle inputs that aren't relatively |
| prime. Similar treatment for $\mathbf{Z}[i]$ |
| |
| Upper Bounds are Tight |
| Linear recurrence to define a sequence of "bad" input |
| pairs, similar to Fibonacci numbers for Euclidean alg in Z |
| Williams/Holte alg uses $\Omega(nM(n))$ bops, using any |
| Fyon if division is free Williams (Holto noods $O(n^2)$ hops |
| just to write down remainders. $1000000000000000000000000000000000000$ |
| What's the Best Power Residue Test? |
| Contrary to belief, the "best" cubic and quartic residue |
| tests need not involve reciprocity. |
| For testing if $x^r \equiv a \mod p$ $(r \equiv 3, 4)$, the Jacobi symbol alg uses a prime ideal factor of p in $\mathbf{Z}[\rho]$ (or $\mathbf{Z}[i]$). |
| To thus factor p, you must compute $\sqrt{-3}$ (or $\sqrt{-1}$) mod |
| p. Fastest known methods use exponentiation, which is $O(nM(n))$. |
| 19th century soln: look up p in precomputed tables of quadratic forms. |
| Today's soln: For one test, use Euler's criterion. For man tests (same p), use reciprocity. |





Algorithms with Quotient Constraints

Eisenstein (1844) computed Jacobi symbol in \mathbf{Z} using even quotients:

> u = qv + r,q even.

Bit complexity is worst-case exponential (Shallit 1990). Smith (1859) gave similar alg for $\mathbf{Z}[i]$, based on

q divisible by 1+iu = qv + r,

Claimed, but did not prove, his division step is feasible.

Our Results on These

Smith-style division is feasible and efficient.

Smith's quartic symbol algorithm is also exponential, since

(4k+1) = 2(4k-3) - (4k-7).

We extended it to cubic Jacobi symbols, using

$$u = qv + r,$$
 q divisible by $1 - \rho$

n $\mathbf{Z}[\rho]$.

Harder to analyze. We resorted to the "tools of ignorance."

- Tried all inputs with |coefficients| ≤ 10 .
- Maintained "record values" for iteration counts.
- For $u = (3k+2)\rho$, $v = 1 + (3k+3)\rho$, # of iterations is 4k + 3.

Cubic algorithm has a cycle of 4 repeated quotients

 $-2 - \rho, \quad -1 - 2\rho, \quad 2 + \rho, \quad -2 - \rho.$

(Verified by symbolic execution.)

So *n*-bit inputs can force $\Omega(2^{n/2})$ iterations.

• Study the "dynamics" of the constrained-quotient algorithms.

• Smith's quartic alg has a quotient cycle of length 1. • Is 4 the shortest cycle length for the cubic algorithm?

- 1859.



Open Questions

• Find exact worst case for least-remainder cubic and quartic Jacobi symbol algs.

To Learn More

To obtain the full paper, contact the authors. We plan to put it on arXiv soon.

References

• B. F. Caviness and G. E. Collins, Proc. ACM Symp. Symb. Alg. Comp., 1976.

• G. E. Collins, J. Symb. Comp., 1992.

• G. Eisenstein, J. reine angew. Math., 1844. (4 papers.) • E. Kaltofen and H. Rolletschek, Math. Comp., 1989. • H. J. S. Smith, Report on the Theory of Numbers (I),

J. O. Shallit, J. Symb. Comp., 1990. • H. C. Williams and R. C. Holte, Math. Comp., 1977.

Acknowledgement

This research was supported in part by NSF: CCF-1420750.

Contact Information

• Eric Bach: bach@cs.wisc.edu - Bryce Sandlund: bcsandlund@uwaterloo.ca